## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

Ţ	INITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA.
•		DIALLO	$\mathbf{O}\mathbf{I}$	TIME TO T.

Plaintiff,		Case No. 2:14-cr-32
v.		HON. ROBERT HOLMES BELL
ROBERT THOMAS CARLSON,		
Defendant.	,	
	/	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on February 18, 2015, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant ROBERT THOMAS CARLSON entered a plea of guilty to the one count Indictment, charging defendant with Possession of Child Pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 2252A(a)(5)(B) and 2252A(b)(2), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to the one count Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written plea agreement be

Case 2:14-cr-00032-RAED ECF No. 23 filed 02/19/15 PageID.44 Page 2 of 2

considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. It is further recommended that the order setting

conditions of defendant's release remain in effect pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea,

adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, determination of defendant's status pending

sentencing, and imposition of sentence are specifically reserved for the district judge.

Date: February 19, 2015

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley
TIMOTHY P. GREELEY
United States Magistrate Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than fourteen days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -